Analysis of Reactance Oscillators Having Multi-Mode Oscillations
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SUMMARY We consider oscillators consisting of a reactance circuit and a negative resistor. They may happen to have multi-mode oscillations around the anti-resonant frequencies of the reactance circuit. This kind of oscillators can be easily synthesized by setting the resonant and anti-resonant frequencies of the reactance circuits. However, it is not easy to analyze the oscillation phenomena, because they have multiple oscillations whose oscillations depend on the initial guesses. In this paper, we propose a Spice-oriented solution algorithm combining the harmonic balance method with Newton homotopy method that can find out the multiple solutions on the homotopy paths. In our analysis, the determining equations from the harmonic balance method are given by modified equivalent circuit models of “DC,” “Cosine” and “Sine” circuits. The modified circuits can be solved by a simulator STC (solution curve tracing circuit), where the multiple oscillations are found by the transient analysis of Spice. Thus, we need not to derive the troublesome circuit equations, nor the mathematical transformations to get the determining equations. It makes the solution algorithms much simpler.
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1. Introduction

Analysis of oscillator circuits is very important for designing communication circuits such as modulators and mixers. Generally, oscillators have both zero amplitude unstable solutions and non-zero amplitude stable and/or unstable orbits. In the analysis, it is very difficult to find out the multiple oscillations, because the oscillations depend on the initial guesses in the transient simulation. Furthermore, the transient analysis sometimes becomes very time-consuming especially for high Q oscillators. Nowadays, many kinds of coupled oscillators have been proposed \([1]–[3]\), which have many interesting phenomena such as multiple oscillations, quasi-periodic oscillations, chaos and so on. Thus, in order to analyze these phenomena, it will be useful to develop simulators to find out the multiple oscillations.

For circuits having a unique non-zero amplitude oscillation, there are Newton-like shooting algorithms \([4]–[7]\) solving the following relation;

\[
F(v(0), T) = v(0) - v(T) = 0,
\]

where \(v\) corresponds to the state-variables of the circuit, and \(T\) is the period to be determined. Since the algorithms are based on the Newton-Raphson method, we need to start the iterations from a suitable initial guess. The convergence is guaranteed only when the initial guess is located near the steady-state solution. W. Ma, L.J. Trajkovic and L. Mayaram \([7]\) have proposed an elegant algorithm combining a homotopy method with the above shooting method. The approach has a global convergence property, so that it can be safely applied to find out the solution satisfying (1). However, it is still difficult to choose a suitable initial guesses converging to the multiple oscillations.

In this paper, we propose an elegant Spice-oriented harmonic balance method for solving multiple oscillations. We apply it to an oscillator consisting of a reactance circuit coupled with a negative resistor. At first, the circuit is designed by specifying the resonant and the anti-resonant frequencies of a reactance circuit such as Cauer or Foster circuit. After then, the oscillator is realized by introducing a negative resistor. We found that the oscillations may happen around the anti-resonant frequencies \([8]\).

Now, we will outline our solution algorithm as follow:

1. For a given reactance oscillator, the waveform of the negative resistance is assumed as follows;

\[
v(t) = V_0 + V_1 \cos \omega_t + \sum_{k=2}^{M} [V_{2k-1} \cos k\omega t + V_{2k} \sin k\omega t],
\]

Note that we can set \(V_2 \sin \omega t = 0\) in (2) because of an autonomous system \([11]\), and \(\omega\) is chosen as an additional variable.

2. The current of negative resistor is given by

\[
i(t) = I_0(V) + \sum_{k=1}^{M} [I_{2k-1}(V) \cos k\omega t + I_{2k}(V) \sin k\omega t]
\]

where \(I_0(V), I_1(V), I_2(V), \ldots, I_{2M}(V)\), are functions of \(V = (V_0, V_1, V_3, \ldots, V_{2M})^T\). Thus, each frequency component \((I_{2k-1}(V), I_{2k}(V))\) is described by the nonlinear voltage-controlled current sources in our harmonic balance method.

For the linear inductances, they are transformed into
the linear current-controlled voltage sources, and the linear capacitances into the linear voltage-controlled current sources. These transformation algorithms using ABMs (Analog Behavior Models) of Spice are shown in Sect. 3. This modified equivalent circuit corresponds to the determining equations of the harmonic balance method.

3. Introducing the Newton homotopy method, the modified circuit is solved by a transient analysis of Spice. In Sect. 2, we propose an algorithm to find out the multiple oscillations which consists of a curve tracing algorithm based on the arc-length method [9].

Thus, in our method, we need not derive any troublesome circuit equations, determining equations of the harmonic balance method nor the Jacobian matrices to solve the equations, which makes the solution algorithm much easier. We will show an interesting illustrative example of a Cauer oscillator in Sect. 4.

2. Harmonic Balance Method Combining with Newton Homotopy Method

In order to show the ideas of our solution algorithm, let us consider a reactance oscillator having a negative resistor as shown in Fig. 1. Assume that the circuit equation of reactance oscillator is written by

\[
h(v, w, w) = 0, \quad h(\ldots) : R^{2n+1} \mapsto R^{2n+1},
\]

(4)

where \( v \) is the nonlinear resistor’s voltage, and \( w \in R^n \) corresponds to the circuit variables of the linear reactance circuit. Now, let the nonlinear characteristic of the negative resistor be described in the following form;

\[
i = \tilde{g}(v).
\]

(5)

We assume the voltage waveform of \( v \) as given in (2). Applying the harmonic balance method to the circuit equation (4), and eliminating the variables from \( w \), the determining equations for the harmonic components can be described as follows;

\[
\begin{align*}
  f_0(V_0, V_1, V_3, \cdots, V_{2M}, \omega) & = 0 \cdots \text{DC} \\
  f_1(V_0, V_1, V_3, \cdots, V_{2M}, \omega) & = 0 \cdots \cos \omega t \\
  f_2(V_0, V_1, V_3, \cdots, V_{2M}, \omega) & = 0 \cdots \sin \omega t \\
  \vdots \\
  f_{2M-1}(V_0, V_1, V_3, \cdots, V_{2M}, \omega) & = 0 \cdots \cos M\omega t \\
  f_{2M}(V_0, V_1, V_3, \cdots, V_{2M}, \omega) & = 0 \cdots \sin M\omega t 
\end{align*}
\]

(6)

where the fundamental frequency component “\( \omega \)” should be determined as an additional variable, and \( M \) denotes the highest frequency component. Thus, the determining equations are described by a set of algebraic equations, which consist of \((2M+1)\)-equations and the same number of variables. However, it is not easy to solve the equations, because they may have the multiple solutions.

For simplicity, we set the relations (6) as follow;

\[
f(V) = 0, \quad V \in R^{2M+1}, \quad f(.) : R^{2M+1} \mapsto R^{2M+1}.
\]

(7)

where \( V = [V_0, V_1, V_3, \cdots, V_{2M}, \omega]^T \). Applying the Newton homotopy method [9] to solve (7), we have the following relation;

\[
\mathbf{F}(V, \rho) = f(V) + (\rho - 1)f(V_0) = 0,
\]

(8)

where \( \rho \) is an additional variable, and \( V_0 \) is the initial guess. Then, the homotopy path starting from \( (V_0, \rho = 0) \) satisfies the relations (6) at \( \rho = 1 \). In this way, we can find out the multiple solutions on the paths, whose curves can be efficiently traced by the application of the arc-length method as follows;

\[
\mathbf{F}(V, \rho) = 0
\]

(9)

where \( s \) denotes the arc-length from a starting point. Equation (9) is a set of nonlinear algebraic-differential equations, and their circuit models can be realized by the use of ABMs (analog behavior models) of Spice [12]. The circuit diagram is shown in Fig. 2(a) and (b), where “VCCS” is a voltage-controlled current source. The current sources \( \dot{\tilde{i}}(V, \rho) \) and \( I_s, I_p \) in Fig. 2 are given by\(^\dagger\)

\[
\begin{align*}
  \dot{\tilde{i}}(V, \rho) & = \frac{dV}{ds} \\
  I_s & = \frac{dV}{d\rho} \\
  I_p & = \frac{dV}{d\rho}
\end{align*}
\]

(10)

Fig. 2 Circuit diagram of the Newton homotopy method.

\( ^\dagger \)The solution curve satisfies the relation (8).

\( ^\dagger\dagger \)Spice [10] has many useful analog behavior models, so we can easily realize the current sources \( \dot{\tilde{i}}(V, \rho) \). In this circuit, \( V_2 = 0 \) is realized by setting \( K = \infty \).
\[ \hat{f}_i(V, \rho) = F_i(V, \rho) - V_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2M + 1, \]
for \( V_2 = 0 \)

\[ I_x = \sum_{i = 1}^{2M+1} \left( \frac{dV_i}{ds} \right)^2, \quad I_\rho = \left( \frac{d\rho}{ds} \right)^2. \quad (10) \]

The second term of (10) is realized by STC (Solution Curve Tracing Circuit) as shown in Fig. 2(b), where \( R_D \) is a sufficiently large dummy resistance to avoid the L-J cut set. Furthermore, \( f_1(V_0), f_2(V_0), \ldots, f_{2M+1}(V_0) \) in Fig. 2(a) denote the initial guesses. Thus, we can easily trace the homotopy path starting from an arbitrarily chosen initial guess \( (V_0) \).

The circuit shown in Fig. 2 is solved by transient analysis of Spice, and the multiple oscillations are found at \( \rho = 1 \) on the homotopy paths.

### 3. Equivalent Circuit Models

#### 3.1 Fourier Transfer Circuit Model

Oscillator circuits usually consist of many linear and/or nonlinear elements. When the harmonic balance method is applied to the analysis, the determining equations are described by a set of algebraic equations as shown in Sect. 2. Firstly, we need to expand the responses of nonlinear elements into the Fourier series. Let us assume the input and output waveforms as follows:

\[ v(t) = V_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{M} [V_{2k-1} \cos k\omega t + V_{2k} \sin k\omega t] \]
\[ i(t) = I_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{M} [I_{2k-1} \cos k\omega t + I_{2k} \sin k\omega t] \quad (11) \]

where \( M \) denotes the highest harmonic component to take account in the analysis. The output Fourier coefficients are described as follows:

\[ I_0 = g_0(V_0, V_1, \cdots, V_{2M}) \]
\[ I_1 = g_1(V_0, V_1, \cdots, V_{2M}) \]
\[ \cdots \]
\[ I_{2M} = g_{2M}(V_0, V_1, \cdots, V_{2M}) \quad (12) \]

In this case, these coefficients can be given by explicit forms only if the nonlinear characteristics are described by power series. For general nonlinear elements, we need to introduce some other techniques for the Fourier transformation. When the input-output relation is given by

\[ i = g(v), \quad (13) \]

the Fourier coefficients are calculated by the following formulas:

\[ I_0 = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T g(v) dt \]
\[ I_{2k-1} = \frac{2}{T} \int_0^T g(v) \cos k\omega dt, \quad I_{2k} = \frac{2}{T} \int_0^T g(v) \sin k\omega dt \quad (k = 1, 2, \ldots, M) \quad (14) \]

Now, let us apply the trapezoidal integration formula to (14) given as follow:

\[ \int_a^b g(v) dt = \frac{h}{2} (g_0 + g_n) + h(g_1 + g_2 + \cdots + g_{n-1}), \quad (15) \]

where the step-size of the integration is \( h = (b-a)/n \) for “n” divisions. Then, the truncation error is given by \( g^{(2)}h^2/12n \). Using this formula, we can realize the equivalent circuit model satisfying (15) with the ABMs of Spice. To understand the circuit model, we assume that the input is given by (11) with \( \theta = \omega t \). Then, the Fourier transfer circuit model for calculating the \( N \)th higher harmonic component is shown by Fig. 3. \( 2K + 1 \) blocks calculate the \( N \)th components \((I_{2N-1}, I_{2N})\) in (14). On the other hand, the integration interval \([0, 2\pi] \) for \( \theta = \omega t \) is divided by \( 2K \) sections using the resistors, so that the \( k \)th input voltage of the ABM blocks is given by \( \kappa(t) \) in (15) with \( \omega t = \theta_k = 2\pi k/2K \) at the \( k \)th node, and the values (14) are calculated by the use of ABMs of Spice. Thus, the resultant outputs are given by \( g(V, \theta_k) \) with \( V, \theta_k \) at the nodes and dividing by \( 2K \), the outputs are equal to the coefficients of \( \cos \theta \) and \( \sin \theta \), respectively.

To investigate the numerical accuracy, we first calculate a modified Bessel function as follows:

\[ I_N(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{x \cos \theta} \cos N\theta d\theta. \quad (16) \]

The simulation results with \( h = 2\pi/20 \) are shown in Fig. 4. The value \( I_1(10) = 2761 \) for \( N = 1 \), \( x = 10 \) is exactly equal to the result from the Table of Bessel function [13]. Remark that Fourier expansions for exponential functions are very important to the analysis of the circuit containing diodes and bipolar transistors [12]. Next, we apply the transfer circuit to the Fourier expansion of MOSFET, whose characteristic in Spice model is described by a piecewise continuous functions [12] as follows:

1. Linear region: \( V_{GS} > V_T, \quad V_{GS} - V_T \geq V_{DS} > 0 \)
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Fig. 4 Fourier transformation for modified Bessel function.

Fig. 5 Fourier transformation for MOSFET. 

$$V_{DS} = 3 \text{[V]}, K = 3.87 \mu A, L = 0.01065, W = 2 \mu m, V_T = 0.827 \text{[V]}.$$ 

$$I_D = \frac{KW}{L} \left( \frac{V_{GS} - V_T}{2} \right) V_{DS}.$$ 

(17a)

2. Saturation region: ($V_{GS} > V_T, V_{DS} > V_{GS} - V_T$)

$$I_D = \frac{KW}{L} (V_{GS} - V_T)^2 (1 + AV_{DS}).$$ 

(17b)

The result of Fourier expansion for an input $V_{GS} \cos \omega t$ is shown in Fig. 5. Thus, the Fourier transfer circuit model given by Fig. 3 can be efficiently applied to any kind of circuit elements contained in analog ICs.

3.2 Fourier Expansion of Linear and Nonlinear Inductors

Let an inductor flux be the current-controlled as follow:

$$\phi_L(t) = \Phi_{L,0} + \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left[ \Phi_{L,2k-1} \cos k \omega t + \Phi_{L,2k} \sin k \omega t \right].$$ 

(19a)

Differentiating $\phi_L(t)$, we have

$$v_L(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} k\omega \Phi_{L,2k-1} \sin k \omega t + \Phi_{L,2k} \cos k \omega t.$$ 

(19b)

Thus, “Sine” and “Cosine” components for the $4\text{th}$ higher harmonic components are respectively given by

$$V_{L,2k} = -k\omega \Phi_{L,2k-1}, V_{L,2k-1} = k\omega \Phi_{L,2k}.$$ 

(20a)

Thus, the inductor is replaced by the coupled current-controlled voltage sources as shown in Fig. 6. For a linear inductor, we have

$$v_L = L \frac{di}{dt} \Rightarrow V_{L,2k} = -k\omega I_{L,2k-1}, V_{L,2k-1} = k\omega I_{L,2k}.$$ 

(20b)

3.3 Fourier Expansion of Linear and Nonlinear Capacitors

Let a capacitor charge be the voltage-controlled characteristic as follow:

$$q_C(t) = \hat{q}_C(v_C)$$ 

(21)

The Fourier expansion can be carried out with the same technique as the inductor.

$$q_C(t) = Q_{C,0} + \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left[ Q_{C,2k-1} \cos k \omega t + Q_{C,2k} \sin k \omega t \right].$$ 

(22a)

Differentiating $q_C(t)$, we have
\[ i_C(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} k\omega [-Q_{C,2k-1} \sin k\omega t + Q_{C,2k} \cos k\omega t], \]  
(22b)

Thus, “Sine” and “Cosine” components for the \( k \)th high harmonic component are respectively given by

\[ I_{C,2k} = -k\omega Q_{C,2k-1}, \quad I_{C,2k-1} = k\omega Q_{C,2k}, \]  
(23a)

For a linear capacitor, we have

\[ i_C = C \frac{dv}{dt} \Rightarrow I_{C,2k} = -k\omega CV_{C,2k-1}, \quad I_{C,2k-1} = k\omega CV_{C,2k} \]  
(23b)

Thus, each capacitor is replaced by the coupled voltage-controlled current sources as shown in Fig. 6.

3.4 Equivalent Circuit Model of the Determining Equations

All the reactance elements in the linear reactance circuit and nonlinear resistors can be replaced by the equivalent circuit models in the table of Fig. 6. Thus, the reactance oscillator shown in Fig. 1 is transformed into the “Cosine” and “Sine” circuits as shown in Fig. 7, where we assume the voltage and current of nonlinear resistor as follows:

\[ v(t) = V_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{M} [V_{2k-1} \cos k\omega t + V_{2k} \sin k\omega t] \]  
(24a)

\[ i(t) = I_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{M} [I_{2k-1} \cos k\omega t + I_{2k} \sin k\omega t] \]  
(24b)

For the analysis of autonomous systems, the time origin can be arbitrarily chosen satisfying a relation “\( V_2 \sin \omega t = 0 \).” The equivalent circuit model is shown by Fig. 7(a); namely,

\[ V_2 - \omega = -\omega \]  
(25)

which means \( V_2 = 0 \). Although the equivalent circuit in Fig. 7 is composed of \( 2M + 2 \) sub-circuits, one of them corresponds to the \( V_2 \sin \omega t = 0 \) or \( V_2 = 0 \). Therefore, the solution of the circuit decides the \( 2M + 1 \) variables \( \{ \omega, V_0, V_1, V_3, \ldots, V_{2M-1}, V_{2M} \} \). The modified circuit shown in Fig. 7 can be efficiently solved by the Newton homotopy method shown in Sect. 2 and STC (Solution curve Tracing Circuit).

4. An Illustrative Example

Consider a reactance Cauer oscillator having a negative resistor as shown in Fig. 8, whose nonlinear characteristic is given by

\[ i_G = -C_1 v_G + C_3 v_G^3, \quad C_1 = 1, \quad C_3 = 1. \]  
(26)

Assume the resonant and ant-resonant frequencies as follows;

Anti-resonant frequencies : \( \omega_{10} = 1, \quad \omega_{30} = 4, \quad \omega_{50} = 6 \)
Resonant frequencies : \( \omega_{20} = 2, \quad \omega_{40} = 5 \)  
(27)

Then, we have the circuit parameters as shown in Fig. 8, where we have added a small resistor \((r = 0.05)\) to each inductor.

It is well-known from the experimental results [6], [14], [15] that, when a weakly nonlinear resistor (26) has a symmetric characteristic to the origin, the resultant waveforms will have only odd number of the higher harmonic components. Thus, we can set

\[ v_G(t) = V_{1,1C} \cos \omega t + \sum_{k=3}^{M} [V_{2k+1,1C} \cos(2k + 1)\omega t + V_{2k+1,1S} \sin(2k + 1)\omega t], \]  
(28)

where the term \( V_{1,1S} \sin \omega t \) in (28) was deleted because of the autonomous system.

Now, we will develop “Cosine” and “Sine” circuits to Fig. 8 which correspond to the determining equations of the harmonic balance method.

In this case, for linear inductors, we have

\[ V_{L,2k} = -k\omega L_1 I_{L,2k-1}, \quad V_{L,2k-1} = k\omega L_1 I_{L,2k}, \]  
\[ k = 1, 2, \ldots, M. \]  
(29)

For linear capacitors, we have

\[ \text{Fig. 8 Cauer oscillator, } C_1 = 0.1, \quad C_2 = 0.343, \quad C_3 = 0.439, \quad L_1 = 0.417, \quad L_2 = 0.262, \quad L_3 = 1.058, \quad r_1 = \cdots = r_3 = 0.01. \]
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Thus, the inductors and capacitors in the modified “Cosine” and “Sine” circuits are replaced by the simple coupled linear current-controlled voltage sources, and coupled voltage-controlled current sources, respectively. Whole the circuit configuration until the 3rd higher harmonic components is shown in Fig. 9. In order to trace the multiple solutions, it also contains the Newton homotopy sub-circuits denoted by \{(\rho - 1)f_i(V_0), i = 1, 2\} in the first two sub-circuits. Observe that each of the “Cosine” and “Sine” circuits has the same topology as the original circuit shown in Fig. 8. It makes easier to construct the equivalent circuits with the schematic editor of Spice.

Combining STC (solution curve tracing circuit) shown in Fig. 2(b) with Fig. 9, we can trace the homotopy paths starting from \{f_i(V_0), i = 1, 2\} in the first two sub-circuits. Observe that each of the “Cosine” and “Sine” circuits has the same topology as the original circuit shown in Fig. 8. It makes easier to construct the equivalent circuits with the schematic editor of Spice.

Thus, the inductors and capacitors in the modified “Cosine” and “Sine” circuits are replaced by the simple coupled linear current-controlled voltage sources, and coupled voltage-controlled current sources, respectively. Whole the circuit configuration until the 3rd higher harmonic components is shown in Fig. 9. In order to trace the multiple solutions, it also contains the Newton homotopy sub-circuits denoted by \{(\rho - 1)f_i(V_0), i = 1, 2\} in the first two sub-circuits. Observe that each of the “Cosine” and “Sine” circuits has the same topology as the original circuit shown in Fig. 8. It makes easier to construct the equivalent circuits with the schematic editor of Spice.

Thus, the inductors and capacitors in the modified “Cosine” and “Sine” circuits are replaced by the simple coupled linear current-controlled voltage sources, and coupled voltage-controlled current sources, respectively. Whole the circuit configuration until the 3rd higher harmonic components is shown in Fig. 9. In order to trace the multiple solutions, it also contains the Newton homotopy sub-circuits denoted by \{(\rho - 1)f_i(V_0), i = 1, 2\} in the first two sub-circuits. Observe that each of the “Cosine” and “Sine” circuits has the same topology as the original circuit shown in Fig. 8. It makes easier to construct the equivalent circuits with the schematic editor of Spice.

Combining STC (solution curve tracing circuit) shown in Fig. 2(b) with Fig. 9, we can trace the homotopy paths starting from \{f_i(V_0), i = 1, 2\}, and the multiple solutions are found at \(\rho = 1\). We have traced both sides of the paths by setting the initial conditions \(\dot{\rho}(0) > 0\), and \(< 0\) of STC. The results are shown in Fig. 10(a) for \(s < 0\) and (b) for \(s > 0\), where the solid line corresponds to \(\omega\)-curve. Thus, we have found 6 solutions at \(\rho = 1\) as follows:

- **Stable solutions**: \(\omega_1 = 1.0878\), \(\omega_2 = 3.6770\), \(\omega_5 = 5.722\)
- **Unstable solutions**: \(\omega_0 = 0\), \(\omega_3 = 2.0001\), \(\omega_4 = 4.999\)

Observe that the amplitudes of oscillations at resonant frequencies \(\omega = 0, 2, 5\) are all the zeros. It means that the reactance oscillator does not oscillate at the resonant frequencies of the reactance circuit [8], and the non-zero oscillations will happen around the anti-resonant frequencies. We found from Fig. 10 that the oscillator has totally 6 solutions, and that those around the anti-resonant frequencies are stable. Next, we have calculated the steady-state waveforms starting from the initial conditions estimated by the above harmonic balance methods. The results are shown in Figs. 11(a), (b) and (c). The frequency spectra with FFT are shown in Figs. 12(a), (b) and (c), respectively. The waveforms at \(\omega = 1.0556\) is largely distorted and contains many higher harmonic components.

We have confirmed from the results that, although the Cauer oscillator happens to oscillate around the anti-resonant frequencies of the reactance circuit, their frequencies

---

[\footnote{Although we can arbitrarily choose the initial guesses, it may happen to trace all the solutions or some of them depending on the guesses. In this example, when we have traced both directions of solution curves of \(s > 0\), \(s < 0\) with \(\omega_0 = 6\), most of our cases found all of the solutions on the homotopy paths. It is known that the curve tracing algorithm [9] is failed at the point of rank(F(V)) < \(n\) for an \(n + 1\) variables. However, it is impossible to know the geometries of curves in \(n + 1\)-dimensional space. Hence, to find all the solutions, we will recommend to choose many initial guesses in the space around the expected solutions.}]

---

\[ IC_{2k} = -k \omega CV_{C_{2k-1}}, \quad IC_{2k-1} = k \omega CV_{C_{2k}}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, M. \]
cies are little different from the designed frequencies because of the nonlinearity of the resistor.

5. Conclusions and Remarks

It is a difficult task to analyze oscillators having multi-mode oscillations, because each of the oscillations depends on the corresponding initial condition. In this paper, we have proposed a Spice-oriented harmonic balance method, where the determining equations can be described by the equivalent “DC,” “Cosine” and “Sine” circuits. The modified circuits are solved by the Newton homotopy method using Spice. Thus, in our algorithm, we need not to derive any troublesome circuit equations, determining equations nor the Jacobian matrices. As an example, we considered a Cauer oscillator having multi-mode oscillations which is composed of a reactance Cauer circuit and a negative resistor. We found from the results that the oscillations happen to appear around the ant-resonant frequencies of the reactance circuit. The solution algorithm shown in this paper will be applied to any kind of reactance oscillators.

The Cauer oscillators sometimes happen quasi-periodic oscillations depending on the circuit parameters. We will also apply our algorithm to electronic circuits such as Hartley and Colpitis oscillators. These are the future problems.
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