# Synchronizations in Three Coupled Oscillators with Memristor Synapses as Ring Structure

Yukinojo Kotani, Yoko Uwate and Yoshifumi Nishio Dept. of Electrical Engineering Tokushima University 2-1 Minami-Josanjima, Tokushima 770-8506, Japan E-mail : {kotani, uwate, nishio}@ee.tokushima-u.ac.jp

*Abstract***— Synchronizations are obtained in coupled oscillators via resistors. Recently, many researchers have focused on a memristor synapse. Few studies have studied synchronizations in coupled oscillators of electronic circuit models with memristor synapses. This study proposes the three coupled oscillators with memristor synapses, and researches various synchronizations changing the characteristics of the memristor.**

#### *Keywords; Memristor; Synchronization; Oscillator*

## I. INTRODUCTION

 Synchronization is the interested phenomenon and studied in the several fields. In electrical engineering, this phenomenon was observed in the circuit model [1]. In previous study, various synchronizations were investigated in many kinds of coupled oscillators via resistors [2]. A memristor was proposed, the characteristics of the charge and the flux was defined as the piecewise function by M. Itoh in 2008 [3]. It has dynamics for a resistor because a charge is defined as an integral of a current. The number of synchronization studies in coupled oscillators of electronic circuit models with memristor synapse was much little, so we focus on a memristor synapse.

This study proposed the three coupled oscillators with memristor synapses and investigated various synchronizations changing the characteristics of the memristor.

### II. CIRCUIT MODEL

Figure 1 shows a memristor model and the characteristics of the memristor. The characteristics of the memristor is defined as the gradient of the piecewise function. Resistance value of the memristor is called memristance. This study proposed three coupled oscillators with memristor synapses as shown in Fig. 2.



(a) Schematic symbol. (b) Charge-flux characteristics. Figure 1. Memristor model.



Figure 2. Three coupled oscillators with memristor synapses as ring.

We normalized the circuit equations of the coupled oscillators in Fig. 2 for the computer simulations. The normalized circuit equations describing first oscillators can be written by Eq. (1)

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{dx_1}{d\tau} = \varepsilon x_1 (1 - x_1^2) - y_1 - y_6 \\
\frac{dy_1}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{2} \{x_1 - M(z_1) \gamma (y_1 + y_2)\} \\
\frac{dy_6}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{2} \{x_1 - M(z_3) \gamma (y_5 + y_6)\} \\
\frac{dz_1}{d\tau} = \xi (y_1 + y_2)\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1)

where  $M$  is refined as Eq. (2).

$$
M(z_1) = \frac{d\varphi(z_1)}{d\tau} = \begin{cases} a \ (|z_1| < 1) \\ b \ (|z_1| > 1) \end{cases} \tag{2}
$$

 $z_1$  is correspond to  $q_1$  in change of the variables, so memristance depends on  $z_1$ . *M* is *a* when the absolute value of  $z_1$  is smaller than one. On the other hand,  $M$  is  $b$  when the absolute value of  $z_1$  is larger than one. Similarly, normalized circuit equations describing both second and third oscillators can be obtained.

 In these simulations, the normalized circuit equations were calculated by using Runge-Kutta method. The parameters were set up to the nonlinearity  $\varepsilon = 0.1$ , the coupling strength  $\gamma = 1$ , the coupling factor  $\xi = 1$ , the scaling time  $\tau = 20000$  and step size of Runge-Kutta method  $h = 0.01$ . In addition, the variables  $x, y$  and z are correspond to the voltage  $v$ , the current  $i$  and the charge  $q$  respectively.

## III. RESULTS

### *A. Anti-phase and aynchronization*

We chose the parameters  $a = 5.0$  and  $b = 0.1$  to strengthen one memristance. Three oscillators oscillated in Fig. 4 (a)  $\sim$  (c). First and second oscillators were anti-synchronized in Fig. 4 (d) and (g). On the other hand, third oscillator was asynchronized with the other oscillators in Fig. 4 (e)  $\sim$  (g). In Fig. 4 (h),  $M_1$  was *b*, and  $M_2$  and  $M_3$  was a constantly. Coupling strength of the synapse between first and second oscillators was much larger than the other coupling parts. Therefore, first and second oscillators were anti-synchronized. In addition, third oscillator was not synchronized with first and second oscillators.



Figure 4. Anti-phase and asynchronization. (a) First oscillator attractor  $(x_1$  vs  $y_1 + y_6)$ . (b) Second oscillator attractor  $(x_2$  vs  $y_2 + y_3)$ . (c) Third oscillator attractor ( $x_3$  vs  $y_4 + y_5$ ). (d) Phase difference ( $x_1$  vs  $x_2$ ). (e) Phase difference  $(x_1 \text{ vs } x_3)$ . (f) Phase difference  $(x_2 \text{ vs } x_3)$ . (g) Time-series of *x*. (h) Time-series of *M*.  $a = 5.0, b = 0.1$ , initial values  $x_1 = 1.0$ ,  $x_2 = 1.1$ ,  $x_3 = 1.2$ ,  $y_1 = 2.0$ ,  $y_2 = 2.1$ ,  $y_3 =$ 2.2,  $y_4 = 2.3$ ,  $y_5 = 2.4$ ,  $y_6 = 2.5$ ,  $z_1 = 1.0$ ,  $z_2 = 1.1$ ,  $z_3 =$ 1.2.

## *B. Anti-phase and oscillation stop*

We chose the parameters  $a = 0.1$  and  $b = 10$  to strengthen two memristances. First oscillator stopped oscillating in Fig. 5 (a). Second and third oscillators oscillated in Fig. 5 (b) and (c). Second and third oscillators were anti-synchronized in Fig. 5 (f). In Fig. 5 (h),  $M_1$  and  $M_3$  were b, and  $M_2$  was a constantly. Coupling strength of the synapse between second and third oscillators was much smaller, so they were synchronized. Besides, first oscillator stopped oscillating.



Figure 5. Anti-phase and oscillation stop. (a) First oscillator attractor  $(x_1$  vs  $y_1 + y_6)$ . (b) Second oscillator attractor  $(x_2$  vs  $y_2 + y_3)$ . (c) Third oscillator attractor ( $x_3$  vs  $y_4 + y_5$ ). (d) Phase difference ( $x_1$  vs  $x_2$ ). (e) Phase difference  $(x_1 \text{ vs } x_3)$ . (f) Phase difference  $(x_2 \text{ vs } x_3)$ . (g) Time-series of  $x$ . (h) Time-series of  $M$ .  $a = 0.1$ ,  $b = 10$ , initial values  $x_1 = -1.0$ ,  $x_2 = -1.1$ ,  $x_3 = -1.2$ ,  $y_1 = 2.0$ ,  $y_2 = 2.1$ ,  $y_3 = 2.2$ ,  $y_4 = 2.3$ ,  $y_5 = 2.4$ ,  $y_6 = 2.5$ ,  $z_1 = 1.0$ ,  $z_2 = 1.1$ ,  $z_3 = 1.2$ .

#### IV. CONCLUSONS

In this study, we proposed three coupled oscillators with memristor synapses and researched two types of synchronizations changing the characteristics of the memristor. As a result, anti-phase and asynchronization were obtained. Also, anti-phase and oscillation stop occurred by giving synapses dynamics.

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] S. Moro, Y. Nishio and S. Mori, "Synchonization Phenomena in Oscillators Coupled by One Resistor," *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals,* vol. E78-A, no. 2, pp. 244–253, February 1995.
- [2] Y. Uwate and Y. Nishio, "Synchonization in Three Coupled van der Pol Oscillators with Different Coupling Strength," *Proc. of Int. Conf. NCSP'10*, pp. 109–112, Mar 2010.
- [3] M. Itoh and L. O. Chua, "Memristor Oscillators," *Int. J. Bifucation and Chaos*, vol. 18, no. 11, pp. 3183-3206, Sep. 2008.